

Testing summary

MAMAS 0.7.0 performance tests.

MAMAS 0.7.0 follows performance tests of version 0.6.0. The results should be comparable when the new features are not enabled (especially DCL which may impact performance noticeably when a big number of devices is sending data).

There are three different test types in MAMAS testing:

- stability tests ... runs for a number of hours.
- benchmark tests ... to measure different HW / VMs for comparability and clue for sizing deployments.
- peek tests ... to discover MAMAS SW and deployments limits and breaking points.

Next to the test results, HW specs for environments, test description and methodology is given in the document.

The MAMAS 0.7.0 early adopter 2 is designed for up to mid-sized deployments (up to 30000 devices).

As such, not all defined tests were performed. Also, not all environments were ready at the time of MAMAS 0.7.0 testing.

Find out more in test overview.

General MAMAS 0.7.0 performance testing verdict:

PASSED

2023-01-15

Initial test set results: Stab-2-2:C1; Stab-4-2:C2; Stab-8-2:C3; Stab-16-2:C4; Stab-32-2:H1; Bench-4-noSSL:C1,C2,C3,C4,H1; Bench-8-noSSL:C1,C2,C3,C4,H1; Bench-16-noSSL:C2,C3,C4,H1; Bench-4-SSL:C1,C2,C3,C4,H1; Bench-8-SSL:C1,C2,C3,C4,H1; Bench-16-SSL:C2,C3,C4,H1;

Document updates:

Testing overview

Testing overview

As mentioned earlier (Testing summary), there are three types of performance tests. All are here because we need to measure:

- number of accepted and dropped requests (and resulting percentage) FOR ALL TESTS
- time for peak and benchmark tests
- memory usage for stability tests
- CPU utilization (%) for stability tests
- mamasd log review to make detail search for warnings and errors (for stability tests)
- number of pushing threads causing requests drops+resends (peak test)

Next to measurements there are a few important calculated metrics:

- ideal maximal throughput (benchmark test)
- typical maximal throughput (20% of ideal) (benchmark test)
- number of pushes per second (benchmark and peak test)
- maximal recommended # of devices per deployment type (HW / VM specs)

Environment specs		CPU cores	CPU threads	RAM MiB	stability test	benchmark	peak test
Linux container (Proxmox)	C1	1	1	512			
	C2	2	2	1024			
	C3	4	4	2048			
	C4	8	8	4096			
AWS instance	A1 (small)						
	A2 (medium)						
	A3 (big)						
Baremetal server Core i7-8C-32G	H1	8	16	32768			

Tests specs	ID	SSL?	# of devices	# of threads	# of loops	# of minutes	Human-time	Notes
Stability	Stab-2-2	Yes	1000	2	N/A	120	2 hours	
	Stab-4-2	Yes	3000	4	N/A	120	2 hours	
	Stab-8-2	Yes	10000	8	N/A	120	2 hours	
	Stab-16-2	Yes	30000	16	N/A	120	2 hours	

Testing overview

	Stab-4-48	Yes	3000	4	N/A	2880	48 hours	
	Stab-4-672	Yes	3000	4	N/A	40320	4 weeks	
Benchmark	Bench-4-noSSL	No	3000	4	10	N/A		
	Bench-8-noSSL	No	3000	4	10	N/A		New in 0.7.0
	Bench-16-noSSL	No	10000	16	10	N/A		
	Bench-32-noSSL	No	30000	32	10	N/A		
	Bench-4-SSL	Yes	3000	4	10	N/A		
	Bench-8-SSL	Yes	3000	4	10	N/A		New in 0.7.0
	Bench-16-SSL	Yes	10000	16	10	N/A		
	Bench-32-SSL	Yes	30000	32	10	N/A		
Peak	PeakR-64-max	No	60000	64	N/A		10	
	PeakR-256-max	No	60000	256	N/A		10	
	PeakR-1024-max	No	60000	1024	N/A		10	
	PeakD-64-max	No	60000	64	N/A		10	
	PeakD-256-max	No	60000	256	N/A		10	
	PeakD-1024-max	No	60000	1024	N/A		10	
	PeakF-64-max	No	60000	64	N/A		10	
	PeakF-256-max	No	60000	256	N/A		10	
	PeakF-1024-max	No	60000	1024	N/A		10	

Test run map 0.7.0	C1	C2	C3	C4	A1	A2	A3	H1
Stab-2-2	Yes							
Stab-4-2		Yes						
Stab-8-2			Yes					
Stab-16-2				Yes				Yes
Stab-4-48								
Stab-4-672								
Bench-4-noSSL	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes
Bench-8-noSSL	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes
Bench-16-noSSL	No	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes
Bench-32-noSSL	No	No	No	No				Yes
Bench-4-SSL	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes
Bench-8-SSL	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes

Testing overview

Bench-16-SSL	No	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes
Bench-32-SSL	No	No	No	No				No
PeakR-64-max								
PeakR-256-max								
PeakR-1024-max								
PeakD-64-max								
PeakD-256-max								
PeakD-1024-max								
PeakF-64-max								
PeakF-256-max								
PeakF-1024-max								

Stability tests

Stability tests							
ID / Environment →		Stab-2-2 / C1	Stab-4-2 / C2	Stab-8-2 C3	Stab-16-2 C4	Stab-16-2 H1	Stab-4-48 H1
Test specs	Devices	1000	3000	10000	30000	30000	
	Emulator threads	2	4	8	16	16	
	Set: Time / minutes	120	120	120	120	120	
	Set loop time / sec	200	200	200	200	200	
HW specs	CPU cores	1	2	4	8	8	
	RAM / MiB	512	1024	2048	4096	32768	
Resources	Pre-test	Available MiB OS	275.00	804.00	1600.00	2900.00	28000.00
		Used MiB OS	236	219	451	1100	2000
		mamasd RSS (MiB)	37	37	37	37	40
	Post-test	Available MiB OS	237	633	1100	1800	28000
		Used MiB OS	274	390	870	2200	2600
		mamasd RSS (MiB)	57	95	271	665	491
		machine load/uptime	1.24	1.69	2.33	2.53	1.37
machine load per CPU	1.24	0.85	0.58	0.32	0.17		
Results	Test numbers	Processesd loops	31	30	28	28	29
		Total time			7336	7311	7345
		Loop time / sec	0	0	262	261	253
		Data-pushes	31000	90000	280000	840000	870000
	Errors	Data ERR/retries	0	0	0	0	0
		Crashes	0	0	0	0	0
		Reboots	0	0	0	0	0
		Log entries	0	1	1	0	1
	Log analysis		1	1	0	1	
	Calculations	RSS increase MiB	20	58	234	628	451
		RSS increase %	54.05	156.76	632.43	1697.30	1127.50
		MiB per device	0.020	0.019	0.023	0.021	0.015
		RAM utilization %	53.52	38.09	22.02	26.86	6.10
		Max safe # of devs	1869	7877	45410	111709	491520
	Summary	RAM utilization note	significant	reasonable	ok	ok	perfect (low)
CPU utilization note		significant	utilized	utilized	reasonable	perfect (low)	
Verdict		PASSED	PASSED	PASSED	PASSED	PASSED	TBD

Stability tests

Log analysis explained

1	WARN: Mid-air collision when creating default profile, skipping... : when multiple new devices with same default profile pushes data for the first time at the same time, only one default profile is created. Shows especially under artificial conditions (all devices with same pair of device type X FW version). Not an error.
---	---

Benchmark tests

Benchmark tests		bench-4-SSL	bench-8-SSL	bench-16-SSL	bench-32-SSL	bench-4-noSSL	bench-8-noSSL	bench-16-noSSL
Devices		3000	3000	10000	30000	3000	3000	10000
Loops		10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Emulator Threads		4	8	16	32	4	8	16
Regular loop time		200	200	200	200	200	200	200
Container 1	CPU / MiBs	1 / 512						
	Avail RAM pre	246	246			246	246	
	Avail RAM post	176	117			69	N/A	
	Load post	3.21	3.36			3.1	11.82	
	Load post / CPU	3.21	3.36			3.1	11.82	0
	Total pushes	30000	30000			30000	30000	100000
	Failed pushes	0	0			0	23618	
	Failed %	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	78.73	0.00
	mamasd restarts	0	0			0	yes as noDB	
	DB errors	0	0			0	Multiple + OOM	
	Elapsed time	210.43	178.20			87.08	473.68	
	Pushes / sec	142.57	168.35	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	344.51	13.47	#DIV/0!
	Ideal max # devs	28513	33670	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	68902	2695	#DIV/0!
	Rec. max # devs	5703	6734	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	13780	539	#DIV/0!
	Notes						DB OOM	
Container 2	CPU / MiBs	2 / 1024						
	Avail RAM pre	647.00	567.00	374.00		468.00	460.00	421.00
	Avail RAM post	571.00	545.00	406.00		450.00	440.00	345.00
	Load post	1.40	1.80	2.65		2.64	2.57	2.44
	Load post / CPU	0.70	0.90	1.33	0.00	1.32	1.29	1.22
	Total pushes	30000.00	30000.00	100000.00	300000.00	30000.00	30000.00	100000.00
	Failed pushes	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
	Failed %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	mamasd restarts	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
	DB errors	0.00	0.00	0.00		Yes, 69	0.00	0.00
	Elapsed time	171.71	114.66	342.60		88.50	62.22	174.85
	Pushes / sec	174.71	261.64	291.89	#DIV/0!	338.98	482.16	571.92
	Ideal max # devs	34943	52329	58377	#DIV/0!	67797	96432	114384
	Rec. max # devs	6989	10466	11675	#DIV/0!	13559	19286	22877

Benchmark tests

	Notes			TG border				
	CPU / MiBs	4 / 2048						
Container 3	Avail RAM pre	1400	1400	1300	1200	1200	1200	1100
	Avail RAM post	1400	1400	1300	831	1200	1200	1100
	Load post	1.34	1.45	1.75	2.4	2.11	1.9	1.73
	Load post / CPU	0.335	0.3625	0.4375	0.6	0.5275	0.475	0.4325
	Total pushes	30000	30000	100000	300000	30000	30000	100000
	Failed pushes	0	0	0	3494	0	0	0
	Failed %	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.16	0.00	0.00	0.00
	mamasd restarts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DB errors	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Elapsed time	186.21	104.81	338.34	1019.07	82.55	59.17	182.12
	Pushes / sec	161.11	286.23	295.56	290.96	363.42	507.01	549.09
	Ideal max # devs	32222	57246	59112	58191	72683	101403	109818
	Rec. max # devs	6444	11449	11822	11638	14537	20281	21964
	Notes			TG border	TG overload			
	CPU / MiBs	8 / 4069						
Container 4	Avail RAM pre	2500	2500	2400	2200	2600	2600	2500
	Avail RAM post	2400	2400	2400	2100	2500	2500	2500
	Load post	1.52	1.83	2.31	2.9	2.71	2.54	2.36
	Load post / CPU	0.19	0.22875	0.28875	0.3625	0.33875	0.3175	0.295
	Total pushes	30000	30000	100000	300000	30000	30000	100000
	Failed pushes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Failed %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	mamasd restarts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DB errors	0	0	0	0	0	77	0
	Elapsed time	158.14	103.93	336.37	1020.23	87.79	51.48	181.01
	Pushes / sec	189.71	288.66	297.30	294.05	341.72	582.75	552.46
	Ideal max # devs	37941	57731	59459	58810	68345	116550	110491
	Rec. max # devs	7588	11546	11892	11762	13669	23310	22098
	Notes			TG border	TG overload			
	CPU / MiBs	8 / 32768						
	Avail RAM pre	29000	29000	29000	29000	28000	28000	28000
	Avail RAM post	29000	29000	28000	28000	28000	28000	28000

Benchmark tests

Baremetal H1	Load post	0.45	0.68	1.2	1.64	1.4	1.25	1.24
	Load post / CPU	0.05625	0.085	0.15	0.205	0.175	0.15625	0.155
	Total pushes	30000	30000	100000	300000	30000	30000	100000
	Failed pushes	0	0	569	7306	0	0	701
	Failed %	0.00	0.00	0.57	2.44	0.00	0.00	0.70
	mamasd restarts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DB errors	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Elapsed time	192.76	106.74	338.67	1028.72	98.50	56.05	183.04
	Pushes / sec	155.63	281.06	293.59	284.52	304.57	535.24	542.50
	Ideal max # devs	31127	56211	58719	56905	60914	107047	108500
	Rec. max # devs	6225	11242	11744	11381	12183	21409	21700
	Notes			TG border	TG overload			

Notes explained	
TG border	Traffic Generator was almost fully utilized – its limit is around 310 pushes/second and it has 8CPU / 16 cores only.
TG overload	Traffic Generator was overloaded, results are not valid. For next benchmark test, we need to distribute TG over multiple machines.
DB OOM	DB was killed by OS due to OOM. Caused by the need of saving too much data to DB at one time (HTTP is faster than HTTP encryption). It is of no consequence to real situation though as the HTTP-only benchmark in 4 threads proved that the container equivalent of 68000 devices whereas the container is recommended up to 3000 devices only.

Benchmark tests

bench-32-noSSL
30000
10
32
200
#DIV/0!
#DIV/0!
#DIV/0!
#DIV/0!
0.00
300000.00
0.00
#DIV/0!
#DIV/0!
#DIV/0!

Benchmark tests

1000
753
1.73
0.4325
300000
3981
1.33
0
0
531.75
556.69
111338
22268
TG overload
2400
1900
2.68
0.335
300000
4519
1.51
0
0
534.83
552.48
110495
22099
TG overload
28000
27000

Benchmark tests

1.33
0.16625
300000
15391
5.13
0
0
544.35
522.84
104568
20914
TG overload

PS as there is no r can handle load

Peek tests

Peak tests are for 60000 devices which is outside of scope for MAMAS 0.7.0

Comparison

Comparison with version 0.6.0 results

Notes

	C1	C2	C3	C4	H1 Stab-4-48 for memory	Notes
0.6.0						
mamasd RSS (post)	49	54	112			61
KiB per device (est.)	15	7	8			8
OS used MiB (post, Stab-2-2)	169	216	394			
Pushes / sec (bench-4-SSL)	142	182	189	189		193
0.7.0 (with DCL)						
mamasd RSS (post)	57	95	271	665		
KiB per device (est.)	20	19	23	21		
OS used MiB (post, Stab-2-2)	274	390	870	2200		
Pushes / sec (bench-4-SSL)	143	175	161	190		
RSS % (bigger=worse)	116.33	175.93	241.96			0.00 0.7.0 uses more memory for more features
KiB per device % (bigger=worse)	133.33	271.43	287.50			0.00
OS used MiB % (bigger=worse)	162.13	180.56	220.81			#DIV/0!
Pushes / sec % (bigger = better)	100.70	96.15	85.19	100.53		Performance is more/less the same – added DCL and more 0.00 data but compensated with some optimizations.
Comparison 0.6.0 → 0.7.0						